Rodents Diversity in Wadi As Sulai, Riyadh Province, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Mounir R. Abi-Said^{1,*} and Mohammad S. Al-Zein²

¹Laboratory of Geosciences, Georesources, and Environment, Department of Life and Earth Sciences, Faculty of Science II, Lebanese University. PO Box 90656 Jdeideh, Fanar; ²Department of Biology, Faculty of Arts & Sciences, American University of Beirut, Riad El Solh 1107 2020 Beirut Lebanon.

Received: June 2, 2022; Revised June 25, 2022; Accepted: June 30, 2022

Abstract: The rodents of Ar Rivadh Province, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia are not well documented. Twenty Sheman live traps were distributed in 23 sites along Wadi As Sulai in Ar Riyadh Province between February and April 2016 as a part of an ecological survey for the area. Nine species of rodents belonging to two families were caught four of which were reported for the first time at the species level in Ar Riyadh namely, Province Gerbillus dasvurus. Gerbilus cheesmani, Gerbilus nanus, and Meriones crassus. External, cranial, and dental measurements were recorded.

Keywords: Rodents, Dipodidae, Muridae, Wadi As Sulai, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Introduction

Rodents are considered as pests and vectors of many zoonotic diseases. Nonetheless, rodents play important ecological roles that are vital for the sustainability of ecosystems. Rodents are keystone species in many ecosystems controlling the ecosystem's structure and affecting vertebrate and invertebrate species richness (Brown and Heske, 1990; Delibes-Mateos et al., 2011). They constitute an important prey base for a large number of predators in addition to being seed disseminators and forest regenerators (Orrock et al., 2004; Howe and Brown, 2000). Moreover, rodents play important ecological roles in desert ecosystems (Reichman, 1979). Hence studying rodent distribution and their existence in an ecosystem will lead to better understanding of the ecosystem.

Few studies on rodents have been conducted in Saudi Arabia (e.g. Harrison, 1972;

*Corresponding author: mabisaid9@gmail.com

Buttiker and Harisson, 1982; Al-Rajhi et al., 1993; Al-Ahmed and Al-Dawood, 2001; López-Antoñanzas and Sen, 2006; Strauss et al., 2008; Henry and Dubost, 2012; Sarli et al., 2016). Harrison and Bates (1991) reported 46 rodent species (excluding the Sciuridae and the Hystricidae) in the Arabian Peninsula, twenty-two species of which are present in Saudi Arabia. According to the first Saudi Arabian National Report on the Convention on Biological Diversity, 22 species and subspecies of rodents belonging to fourteen genera and five families exist in Saudi Arabia and five of which are endemic to Saudi Arabia (Gerbillus cheesmani arduus; Meriones rex philbyi; Meriones crassus longifrons; Meriones libycus arimalius; Psammomys obesus dianae) (Abu Zinada et al., 2003). Lewis et al. (1963) reported eight rodent species from Northern Saudi Arabia, Al Kalili (1984) documented five species of rodents from the southwest of Saudi Arabia. Very few assessments examined rodents in Ar-Riyadh Province. Four species belonging to two families were reported from Ar Rivadh city (Al-Rajhi et al 1993) and Al-Ahmed and Al-Dawood (2002) collected six species from Wadi Hanifah, which is parallel to Wadi As-Sulai in Riyadh city where the current study was conducted.

Wadi As-Sulai is a new project that surrounds Ar-Riyadh city parallel to Wadi Hanifa. It represents a man-made drainage system for rainwater drainage around Riyadh city. No studies on rodents were conducted on this site. Hence Rodents were studied in this area as a part of an environmental impact assessment study for the Wadi. Most recently, Abi-Said *et al* (2020) reported on the presence of at least 11 species of rodents based on remains from pellets of the Pharaoh Eagle Owl *Bubo ascalaphus*. This study aims to augment the information on rodents in Ar-Riyadh Province and to highlight the effect of the drainage system on their population.

Material and Methods

Area description

The area of Wadi As-Sulai is a representative of the desert ecosystem sheltering typical desert life forms. It is part of the Kharj drainage basin, is an ephemeral waterway that collects runoff water from more elevated areas surrounding it. Water draining into the wadi comes from the natural watershed (2,550 km²), which includes Eastern Riyadh, with additional inputs from water treatment plants; the water is ultimately lost in the desert. Wadi As-Sulai stretches for ca. 120 km from north to south, its width sometimes only a few meters. It is comprised of habitats that range from semi-natural to widely disturbed, the major disturbances being grazing, pollution (discharge of partially treated and crude wastewater, construction wastes, litter, etc.), urbanization, and offroad driving. Fencing in the airport area as well as the National Guard area has partially excluded people and their animals; thus, these areas are more densely vegetated than adjacent areas. Apart from seasonal water bodies, the Wadi includes some sand/ silt pans in addition to semi-permanent and permanent water bodies, some filled with treated or partially treated wastewater.

Rodent trapping

The trapping stretched from the February 3rd to April 12th, 2016. Twenty-three sites covering all the Wadi were selected for rodent trapping using 20 Sherman live traps for one night per site (Figure 1).

Due to the limited number of traps, three stations were selected pernight. In each station, twenty traps baited with peanut butter, oat, sunflower seeds, and canary feed-mix were set at dusk and were checked the next day at dawn. Traps were arranged in line transects close to burrows. The caught animals were identified according to Harrison and Bates (1991), measured, photographed, skinned and skulls were cleaned and measured. All animals were taken as specimens and kept at the Department of Life and Earth Sciences collection, Lebanese University.

Results

A total of 460 trapping nights resulted in trapping 62 individuals belonging to nine species belonging to two families (Table 1, Figure 2). The conservation status of all trapped species were of least concern (LC) according to IUCN redlist 2016. The most trapped rodent was *Acomys dimidiatus* (30.65%) followed by *Meriones libycus* (27.42%) and the *Gerbillus* spp. were the least trapped (Table 1). *A. dimidiatus* were caught in rocky areas while the *M. libycus* were caught in sandy areas while *Rattus rattus* and *Mus musculus* around urban areas.

 Table 1. List of rodent species and their trapping percentage at Wadi As Sulai

Family	Scientific Name	Ν	%
Dipodidae	Jaculus jaculus	6	9.68
Muridae	Acomys dimidiatus	19	30.65
	Gerbillus cheesmani	3	4.84
	Gerbillus dasyurus	1	1.61
	Gerbillus nanus	2	3.22
	Meriones crassus	8	12.90
	Meriones libycus	17	27.42
	Mus musculus	2	3.23
	Rattus rattus	4	6.45

External, cranial, and dental measurements of species caught were recorded (Tables 2 and 3).

Discussion

During this rapid survey, nine species of rodents were identified (Table 1). Al-Ahmed and Al-Dawood (2002) identified six rodent species in Wadi Hanifah which is parallel to



Figure 1. Trapping sites along Wadi As Sulai

Species	N	Wt. (g)	HB (mm)	TL (mm)	HL (mm)	HW (mm)	E (mm)	HF (mm)
A. dimidiatus	19	41.22	109.49	96.52	33.46	15.51	17.25	20.45
D. dasyrus	1	18	84.12	114.43	27.77	14.52	12.5	20.52
G. cheesmani	3	23.5	89.22	116.45	29.59	16.04	12.01	25.675
G. nanus	2	14.5	77.12	103.75	27.5	14.45	9.01	23.54
J. jaculus	6	48.5	114.21	168.16	34.07	25.79	19.66	60.72
M. libycus	17	146.1	167.2	156.5	44.1	23.5	17.3	41.38
M. crassus	8	80.33	126.88	138.73	38.13	21.29	14.01	33.78
M. musculus	2	7.6	65.66	69.85	21.21	10.42	12.72	18.51
R. rattus	4	161	184.14	185.84	39.98	21.71	24.93	35.45

Table 2. External measurements of the rodents trapped in Wadi As Sulai

HB: Head and body, **TL**: Tail; **HF**: Hindfoot; **HL**: Head length, **HW**: Head width, **E**: Ear; **Wt**. **g**: wight in grams

Table 3. Cranial and dental measurements of rodents trapped in Wadi As Sulai

Species	N	IC	ZB	BB	GTL	CBL	MXC	MDC	М
A. dimidiatus	19	4.93	13.71	11.2	30.41	28.83	4.79	4.47	18.25
D. dasyrus	1	5.23	11.03	12.73	26.42	23.32	3.79	3.13	14.22
G. cheesmani	3	5.2	12.67	9.67	21.42	19.24	3.72	3.72	15.34
G. nanus	2	5.04	11.57	12.83	28.9	25.8	3.98	3.75	12.48
J. jaculus	6	11.01	20.61	22.5	31.9	28.1	4.65	4.46	18.7
M. crassus	8	5.86	15.34	13.23	30.86	28.53	5.24	5.12	19.06
M. libycus	17	6.85	17.4	14.61	36.82	34.43	5.54	6.57	20.36
M. musculus	2	3.59	9.01	7.94	NA	19.97	3.16	2.77	11.28
R. rattus	4	5.95	18.37	13.52	41.11	38.92	6.55	6.16	24.46

GtL: Greatest length of skull; ZB: Zygomatic breadth; IC: Interorbital constriction; BB: Brain case breadth; MXC: Maxillary cheekteeth; MDC: Mandibular cheekteeth; M: Mandible length (incisor included).

Wadi As Sulai these included A. dimidiatus, M. libycus, M. musculus and three subspecies of R. rattus. While El-Bahrawy and Al-Dakhil (1993) trapped seven rodent species in Ar Riyadh namely; J. jaculus, A. dimidiatus, M. musculus, R. rattus, R. norvegicus, and one Meriones spp, and one Gerbillus spp. Al-Rajhi et al. (1993) collected only four species A. dimidiatus, M. libycus, M. musculus, and *R. rattus*. This high number of species caught is probably due to the suitable season for rodent activity where a favorable climate prevails compared to the other study in Wadi Hanifah that was carried on between August and November when the weather is very hot. The high percentage of A. dimidiatus is owed to the suitable landscape of rocky structures where this species can hide easily. Similarly, the high percentage of *M. libycus* caught is due to the spread of farmlands within the study site. Similarly, Al-Ahmed and Al-Dawood (2002) trapped more *M. libycus* in farmlands in Wadi Hanifah is also similar to the results reported by El-Bahrawy and Al-Dakhil (1993), Al-Rajhi *et al.* (1993) and Abi-Said *et al.* (2020).

Moreover, external, cranial, and dental measurements of species caught were similar to those reported by Harrison and Bates (1991) and Aulagnier *et al.* (2009). This study augments the rodent fauna in Ar Riyadh Province and highlights the importance of timing the trapping activity to match the activity of the rodents in the study site. This rapid survey identified four rodents that were not reported to the species level including;



Figure 2: A. The three-toed jarboa, *Jaculus jaculus*. B. Arabian spiny mouse, *Acomys dimidiatus*. C. Cheesmani gerbil, *Gerbillus cheesmani*. D. Wagner's gerbil, *Gerbillus dasyurus*. E. The Libyan jird, *Meriones libycus*. F. The black rat, *Rattus rattus*.

G. cheesmani, *G. dasyrus*, *G. nanus*, and *M. crassus*, and provided additional external and cranial measurements. Hence a comprehensive study to cover the entire Ar Riyadh Province is needed to document the small mammals present and their relative abundance.

References

- Abi-Said, MR, Al Zein, MS, Amr, ZS and Abu Baker, MA. 2020. Diet of the desert eagle owl, *Bubo ascalaphus*, in eastern Saudi Arabia. *Pakistan Journal of Zoology*, **52**: 1169–1171.
- Abu Zinada, A H, Robinson, E R, Nader, I A and Al Wetaid, Y I. 2003. First

Saudi Arabian National Report on the Convention on Biological Diversity. *The National Commission for Wildlife Conservation and Development, Riyadh.*

- Al-Ahmed, A M and Al-Dawood, AS. 2001. Rodents and their ectoparasites in Wadi Hanifah, Riyadh City, Saudi Arabia. Journal Egyptian Society of Parasitology, 31:737-744.
- Al-Khalili, A D. 1984. New records of ectoparasites of small rodents from SW Saudi Arabia. *Fauna of Saudi Arabia*, 6: 510–512.
- Al-Rajhi, D H, Tag-EL-Din, A, Hussein, H I and Mostafa, S. 1993. Trapping of Rodent Pests in Riyadh Region,

Saudi Arabia. *Agricultural Research Bulletin.* 36 pp.

- Aulagnier, S, Haffner, P, Mitchell-Jones, AJ, Moutou, F, and Zima, J. 2009.
 Mammals of Europe, North Africa and the Middle East. A & C Black Publishers Ltd., London, UK.
- Brown, J H and Heske, E J. 1990. Control of a desert-grassland transition by a keystone rodent guild. *Science (Washington)*, **250** (4988): 1705-1707.
- Buttiker, W and Harrison, DL. 1982. Mammals of Saudi Arabia. On a collection of Rodents from Saudi Arabia. *Fauna of Saudi Arabia*, **4**:488-502.
- Delibes-Mateos, M, Smith, A T, Slobodchikoff, C N and Swenson, J E. 2011. The paradox of keystone species persecuted as pests: a call for the conservation of abundant small mammals in their native range. *Biological Conservation*, **144**: 1335-1346.
- El Bahrawy, AA and Al Dakhil, MA. 1993. Studies on the ectoparasites (fleas and lice) on rodents in Riyadh and its surroundings, Saudi Arabia. Journal of the Egyptian Society of Parasitology, **23**:723-35.
- Harrison, DL. 1972. The Mammals of Arabia. 3. Lagomorpha and Rodentia. Benn, London. pp. 382–670.
- Harrison, DL and Bates, PJJ. 1991. The Mammals of Arabia. Sevenoaks: Harrison Zoological Museum.
- Henry, O and Dubost, G. 2012. Breeding periods of *Gerbillus cheesmani* (Rodentia, Muridae) in Saudi Arabia. *Mammalia*, **76**: 383-387.

- Howe, HF and Brown, JS. 2000. Early effects of rodent granivory on experimental forb communities. *Ecological Applications*, **10**: 917-924.
- IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016: e.T136471A115208221. https:// dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2016-3.RLTS.T136471A22453198.en.
- Lewis, RE, Lewis, JH and Harrison, DL.1973. On a collection of mammals from Northern Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Zoology*, **144**:61-74.
- López-Antoñanzas, R and Sen, S. 2006. New Saudi Arabian Miocene jumping mouse (Zapodidae): systematics and phylogeny. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, **26**: 170-181.
- Orrock, JL, Danielson, BJ and Brinkerhoff, RJ. 2004. Rodent foraging is affected by indirect, but not by direct, cues of predation risk. *Behavioral Ecology*, **15**: 433–437.
- Reichman, O J. 1979. Desert granivore foraging and its impact on seed densities and distributions. *Ecology*, 60:1085-92.
- Sarli, J, Lutermann, H, Alagaili, AN, Mohammed, OB and Bennett, NC. 2016. Seasonal reproduction in the Arabian spiny mouse, *Acomys dimidiatus* (Rodentia: Muridae) from Saudi Arabia: The role of rainfall and temperature. *Journal of Arid Environments*, **124**:352-359.
- Strauss, MW, Shah, MS and Shobrak, M. 2008. Rodent trapping in the Saja/ Umm Ar-Rimth protected area of Saudi Arabia using two different trap types. *Zoology in the Middle East*, 43:31-39.